

Reduced Risks of Neural Tube Defects and Orofacial Clefts With Higher Diet Quality

Suzan L. Carmichael, PhD; Wei Yang, MD, MS, MA; Marcia Lynn Feldkamp, PhD, PA, MSPH; Ronald G. Munger, PhD; Anna Maria Siega-Riz, PhD; Lorenzo D. Botto, MD; Gary Shaw, DrPH; for the National Birth Defects Prevention Study

Objective: To examine whether better maternal diet quality was associated with reduced risk for selected birth defects.

Design: A multicenter, population-based case-control study, the National Birth Defects Prevention Study.

Setting: Ten participating centers in the United States.

Participants: Eligible subjects' estimated due dates were from October 1997 through December 2005. Telephone interviews were conducted with 72% of case and 67% of control mothers. Analyses included 936 cases with neural tube defects (NTDs), 2475 with orofacial clefts, and 6147 nonmalformed controls.

Main Exposures: Food-frequency data were used to calculate the Mediterranean Diet Score (MDS) and Diet Quality Index (DQI), modeled after existing indices.

Main Outcome Measure: Adjusted odds ratios (ORs).

Results: After covariate adjustment, increasing diet quality based on either index was associated with reduced risks for the birth defects studied. The strongest association was between anencephaly and DQI; the OR for highest vs lowest quartile was 0.49 (95% CI, 0.31-0.75). The ORs for cleft lip with or without cleft palate and cleft palate and DQI were also notable (0.66 [95% CI, 0.54-0.81] and 0.74 [95% CI, 0.56-0.96], respectively).

Conclusions: Healthier maternal dietary patterns, as measured by diet quality scores, were associated with reduced risks of NTDs and clefts. These results suggest that dietary approaches could lead to further reduction in risks of major birth defects and complement existing efforts to fortify foods and encourage periconceptional multivitamin use.

Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2012;166(2):121-126.

Published online October 3, 2011.

doi:10.1001/archpediatrics.2011.185

Author Affiliations:

Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University, Stanford, California (Drs Carmichael, Yang, and Shaw); Division of Medical Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City (Drs Feldkamp and Botto); Utah Birth Defect Network, Utah Department of Health, Salt Lake City (Dr Feldkamp); Department of Nutrition, Utah State University, Logan (Dr Munger); and Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill (Dr Siega-Riz).

Group Information: A list of the National Birth Defects Prevention Study Investigators appears at <http://www.nbdps.org/aboutus/staff/index.html>.

DISCOVERY AND DEMONSTRATION of the effect of folic acid supplementation and food fortification in preventing neural tube defects (NTDs) is an important public health success.¹ However, folic acid does not prevent all NTDs, and in countries that have implemented folic acid fortification, NTD prevalence may be resistant to further reduction from folic acid.^{2,3} Furthermore, other aspects of nutritional status may also

See also pages 187 and 200

contribute to NTD etiology, including other nutritional factors related to one-carbon metabolism, oxidative stress, and glycemic control.⁴⁻⁷ It is therefore important to continue to improve our understanding of the complex contribution of nutritional status to NTD etiology. It is also important to expand such investigations to other birth de-

fects, such as orofacial clefts, whose risk might also be affected by nutritional status.⁸⁻¹⁰



Journal Club slides available at www.archpediatrics.com



CME available online at www.jamaarchivescme.com and questions on page 108

Nutrition research on birth defects has tended to focus on one nutrient (or nutritional factor) at a time. The focus on single nutrients is a reasonable starting point. However, the reality of nutrition is much more complex. People typically eat foods that represent composites of nutrients. These nutrients are highly correlated, making it difficult, if not impossible, to isolate truly independent effects of single nutrients outside of highly controlled trials. An exclusive focus on single nutrients also ignores the biological in-

teraction of nutrients inherent to most metabolic pathways.

A more holistic approach is to examine diet quality. Many indices of diet quality attempt to characterize the overall diet, typically with respect to a known set of dietary recommendations or dietary patterns. Most indices involve some combination of intake of nutrients and food groups. Historically, diet quality indices have been informative for various complex disease phenotypes. For example, indices that quantify adherence to a Mediterranean diet pattern, the DASH diet (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension), or US dietary recommendations have been associated with reduced risk of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and cancer.¹¹⁻¹⁵ The association of diet quality with birth defects also merits investigation.

For the present analysis, we developed 2 diet quality indices that were modeled after the Mediterranean Diet Score (MDS)^{16,17} and the Diet Quality Index (DQI) for Pregnancy,¹⁸ which focus on overall diet quality from the perspective of the Mediterranean diet and the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Guide Pyramid, respectively. We examined these indices in relation to risks for nonsyndromic NTDs and orofacial clefts, using data from the National Birth Defects Prevention Study (NBDPS).

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

The NBDPS is a multistate, population-based case-control study of clinically well-defined birth defects. The study began with deliveries that had estimated due dates in October 1997. Recruitment and data collection are ongoing. The study is an approved activity of the institutional review boards of the participating study centers and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Detailed study methods and descriptions of surveillance systems in the 10 states that contributed data to this analysis have been published.¹⁹ In brief, 7 states included live-born, stillborn (fetal deaths >20 weeks' gestation), and prenatally diagnosed and electively terminated cases (Arkansas, California, Georgia, Iowa, North Carolina, Texas, and Utah), 1 state included only liveborn and stillborn cases (Massachusetts), 1 included only liveborn cases (New Jersey), and 1 included liveborn cases from 1997 through 1999 and added stillborn cases in 2000 (New York).

CASE REVIEW AND CLASSIFICATION

Case information was obtained from hospital reports and medical records and entered into a standardized database for clinician review and classification. Cases included infants or fetuses with anencephaly, spina bifida, and cleft lip with or without cleft palate (CLP) or cleft palate alone (CP), as confirmed by clinical, surgical, or autopsy reports. Cases resulting from known single gene or chromosomal abnormalities (syndromic cases) were ineligible, given their presumed genetic determinants. Each case was also classified as isolated if there was no additional major unrelated defect or as nonisolated if there was at least 1 unrelated major birth defect.²⁰ Infants whose clefts were believed to be secondary to another defect (eg, holoprosencephaly) were ineligible for the study.

CONTROL SELECTION

Each participating center randomly selected approximately 100 live-born controls without birth defects per study year from birth certificates (Arkansas, 2000-present; Georgia, 2001-present; and Iowa, Massachusetts, North Carolina, New Jersey, and Utah, all years) or from birth hospitals (Arkansas, 1997-1999; California, all years; Georgia, 1997-2000; and New York and Texas, all years) to represent the population from which the cases were derived.

MATERNAL INTERVIEWS

Maternal interviews were conducted using a standardized, computer-based questionnaire, primarily by telephone, in English or Spanish, no earlier than 6 weeks after the infant's estimated date of delivery and no later than 24 months after the estimated due date. Exposures to many factors were assessed, relative to the woman's estimated date of conception, which was derived by subtracting 266 days from the expected due date. Expected due date was based on self-report; if unknown, it was estimated from information in the medical record (<2% of subjects).

The present analysis included 3824 cases and 6807 controls with due dates from October 1997 to December 2005. Interviews were conducted with mothers of 72% of cases and 67% of controls. Median time from actual date of delivery to interview was 9.1 months for cases (interquartile range, 7.3 months) and 7.5 months for controls (interquartile range, 6.4 months).

FOOD FREQUENCY QUESTIONNAIRE

Mothers reported their average intake of foods using a 58-item food frequency questionnaire developed by Willett and colleagues²¹ for The Nurses Health Study. Participants reported how often, on average, they consumed food items in the year before they became pregnant. For seasonal foods, such as fruits and vegetables, they averaged their intake over the 6 months prior to pregnancy. Foods eaten less than once a month were recorded as "never or none." Intake of breakfast cereals, sodas, food supplements, and caffeinated tea and coffee were assessed by separate, more detailed questions, which covered intake during the 3 months before pregnancy. Because few women (mothers of 10% of cases and 10% of controls) consumed food supplements (which included items such as powdered drink supplements) and nutrient data were not available for many of these products, food supplements were not included in nutrient calculations. The USDA nutrient database (version 19) was the source of nutrient values,²² except for choline, for which USDA version 20 was used because it is more complete.^{23,24} Dietary folate intake was expressed as dietary folate equivalents (DFEs), calculated by multiplying the amount of folic acid from fortified foods by 1.7 (to account for greater bioavailability), and then adding that amount to natural folate from foods.

DIET QUALITY INDICES

The MDS reflects how closely an individual's diet fits a typical Mediterranean diet as defined by Trichopoulou et al.^{16,17} The MDS is a summary of intake of 6 positively scored components (legumes, grains, fruits and nuts, vegetables, fish, and the ratio of monounsaturated to saturated fatty acid intake) and 3 negatively scored components (dairy, meat, and sweets). The MDS used in these analyses is different from the original in that it excludes the ethanol component but adds a sweets component, sums servings rather than grams per day to score the components, and scores components in quartiles rather than medians.

The DQI examines intake of specific food groups and nutrients and incorporates pregnancy-specific nutritional recommendations.^{18,25} The original DQI was based on the year 2000 Dietary Guidelines for Americans and the 1992 Food Guide Pyramid.^{26,27} The DQI is the summary score of 6 positively scored components (grains, vegetables, fruits, folate, iron, and calcium) and 2 negatively scored components (percentage of calories from fat and sweets). The DQI used in these analyses differs from the original in that it excludes the meal pattern component but includes a sweets component and it scores each component based on quartiles rather than absolute values.

A detailed description of the food items included in each component, how the indices differ from the originals, and how they were calculated is included in eTable 1 (<http://www.archpediatrics.com>). The objective was to mimic the original indices as closely as possible. In brief, we calculated servings per day of each food-based component, ranked each component by quartile based on the distribution among controls, and then summed the components to provide a final value for each index.

ANALYSES

Descriptive analyses were conducted to examine the association of the diet quality indices with each other, their components, and selected nutrients. Multivariable linear regression analyses were conducted to examine the association of the indices with selected covariates. The covariates were maternal race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, or other); education (less than, equal to, or more than high school); prepregnancy; body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); any periconceptional alcohol drinking, smoking, or intake of folic acid–containing vitamin/mineral supplements; energy intake; and study center.

Multivariable logistic regression analyses were conducted to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals reflecting the association of each diet quality index with specific phenotypes. Each diet quality index was examined in categorical (quartile) and continuous form. Analyses were first adjusted for energy intake only and then also for the potential covariates listed in the preceding paragraph.

Mothers with energy intake less than 500 kcal or greater than 5000 kcal and mothers with more than 1 food item missing (ie, not queried) from the food frequency questionnaire (112 cases and 206 controls) were excluded from all analyses. Cases with both anencephaly and spina bifida were analyzed with the anencephaly group ($n=3$). Cases with both an NTD and an orofacial cleft were analyzed in the NTD group ($n=20$). We further excluded 57 cases and 40 controls whose mothers had pregestational diabetes from the logistic regression analyses, given that diet–phenotype associations could differ for women with diabetes. After these exclusions and restriction to subjects with complete covariate data, 936 NTDs, 2475 clefts, and 6147 controls were available for analyses.

For some cases, the developmental critical period for the structural malformations being studied occurred in 1997, before mandatory fortification of grain products with folic acid. We therefore re-ran final analyses after excluding these subjects (ie, 247 cases and 472 controls with estimated dates of conception before November 1, 1997). We also re-ran final analyses after excluding women who took food supplements (355 cases and 631 controls), and we examined separate analyses for isolated and non-isolated CLP and CP, given potential etiologic heterogeneity.

RESULTS

Most mothers of controls were non-Hispanic white and had more than a high school education; 19% smoked,

38% drank alcohol, and 78% took folic acid–containing supplements during early pregnancy; and 16% were obese (**Table 1**). Frequencies of these characteristics among cases are also given in Table 1.

The 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles were 8, 11, 13, 16, and 18 for the MDS and 5, 8, 12, 16, and 19 for the DQI, respectively. The respective ranges were 2 to 25 and 0 to 24. The mean (SD) of the MDS was 13.2 (3.8), and for the DQI it was 12.0 (5.2). The correlation of the 2 indices with each other was 0.53. Correlations of each index with its components were in the expected directions (eTable 2). They ranged from -0.26 to 0.48 for the MDS and from -0.36 to 0.69 for the DQI. Correlations with single nutrient categories tended to be substantially higher for the DQI than the MDS; eg, the correlations with energy intake were 0.58 and 0.15 , respectively.

Women who were Hispanic had substantially higher values for the DQI and the MDS, whereas values were lower among women with less education and women who smoked, did not take supplements, or were obese, even after adjusting all these factors for each other (eTable 3).

We observed reduced birth defect risks associated with higher dietary quality scores (**Table 2**). That is, after adjusting for all covariates, increasing diet quality based on either index was associated with reduced risk of each birth defect studied. The strongest associations were observed for anencephaly. The OR for the highest vs lowest quartile was 0.64 (95% CI, 0.45 – 0.92) for the MDS and 0.49 (95% CI, 0.31 – 0.75) for the DQI. Based on continuous specifications of the indices, the OR reflecting a difference comparable to the 90th vs 10th percentiles of the MDS (ie, 18 vs 8) was 0.70 (95% CI, 0.49 – 0.99), and for the DQI (ie, 19 vs 5) it was 0.45 (95% CI, 0.30 – 0.68). Odds ratios for the categorical and continuous specifications of both indices produced 95% CIs that excluded 1 for CLP but were closer to 1 than for anencephaly. Odds ratios for the continuous specification of the DQI and spina bifida and CP also had 95% CIs that excluded 1.0.

Results were similar after excluding subjects with dates of conception before November 1, 1997, or subjects who consumed food supplements (data not shown). Results for nonisolated CLP and CP tended to be of a similar magnitude but less precise than results for isolated CLP and CP, likely owing to smaller numbers of nonisolated cases. Odds ratios adjusted only for energy intake tended to be similar to or closer to 1.0 than ORs adjusted for all covariates (data not shown).

COMMENT

Based on 2 diet quality indices, higher maternal diet quality in the year before pregnancy was associated with lower risks for NTDs and orofacial clefts. This finding persisted even after adjusting for multiple potential confounders such as maternal intake of vitamin/mineral supplements. These results are notable because previous analyses from this same study, the NBDPS, which assessed single nutrient intakes in isolation, had not been informative. In particular, maternal intake of folic acid–

Table 1. Descriptive Characteristics of Case and Control Infants, National Birth Defects Prevention Study, 1997-2005.

Characteristic	Participants, % ^a				
	Anencephaly (n=291)	Spina Bifida (n=645)	CLP (n=1622)	CP (n=853)	Controls (n=6147)
Race/ethnicity					
Non-Hispanic white	53	58	65	67	63
Black	8	9	6	8	12
Hispanic	31	28	23	18	19
Other	8	6	7	7	6
Education					
<High school	19	16	17	14	14
Equal to high school	28	29	28	28	25
>High school	53	55	54	58	61
Smoking ²					
None	87	81	74	76	81
Any	13	19	26	24	19
Drinking ²					
None	70	66	61	59	62
Any	30	34	39	41	38
Folic acid-containing vitamin/mineral supplement use ^b					
None	21	24	23	22	22
Any	79	76	77	78	78
Body mass index					
Underweight, <18.5	7	4	8	6	6
Normal weight, 18.5-24.9	54	46	53	52	56
Overweight, 25.0-29.9	23	24	22	23	22
Obesity, ≥30.0	17	26	17	19	16

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); CLP, cleft lip with or without cleft palate; CP, cleft palate alone.

^aNumbers may not add to 100% because of rounding.

^bFrom 1 month before through 2 months after conception.

Table 2. Association of Neural Tube Defects and Orofacial Clefts With the Mediterranean Diet Score (MDS) and Diet Quality Index (DQI)

	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) ^a			
	Anencephaly (n=291)	Spina Bifida (n=645)	CLP (n=1622)	Cleft Palate (n=853)
MDS				
Quartile 1, 2-10	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Quartile 2, 11-12	0.69 (0.48-1.00)	0.96 (0.75-1.23)	0.75 (0.64-0.89)	0.82 (0.66-1.01)
Quartile 3, 13-15	0.83 (0.60-1.15)	1.05 (0.83-1.32)	0.89 (0.76-1.03)	1.06 (0.87-1.29)
Quartile 4, 16-25	0.64 (0.45-0.92)	0.88 (0.68-1.13)	0.76 (0.64-0.90)	0.83 (0.67-1.04)
Continuous, 90th vs 10th percentile ^b	0.70 (0.49-0.99)	0.93 (0.73-1.18)	0.79 (0.67-0.93)	0.92 (0.75-1.14)
DQI				
Quartile 1, 0-8	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]	1 [Reference]
Quartile 2, 9-12	0.71 (0.51-0.99)	0.94 (0.75-1.18)	0.90 (0.77-1.04)	0.97 (0.80-1.19)
Quartile 3, 13-16	0.68 (0.48-0.95)	0.89 (0.70-1.14)	0.79 (0.67-0.93)	1.01 (0.82-1.24)
Quartile 4, 17-24	0.49 (0.31-0.75)	0.80 (0.60-1.08)	0.66 (0.54-0.81)	0.74 (0.56-0.96)
Continuous, 90th vs 10th percentile ^b	0.45 (0.30-0.68)	0.72 (0.54-0.95)	0.64 (0.53-0.77)	0.77 (0.60-0.99)

Abbreviations: CLP, cleft lip with or without cleft palate; CP, cleft palate.

^aAdjusted for maternal energy intake, race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, or other), body mass index, education (less than, equal to, or more than high school), study center (Arkansas, California, Georgia, Iowa, Massachusetts, North Carolina, New Jersey, New York, Texas, and Utah), and any drinking, smoking, and intake of folic acid-containing vitamin/mineral supplements during the month before pregnancy or the first 2 months of pregnancy. Analyses included the 6147 controls who did not have pregestational diabetes.

^bThe 90th vs 10th percentile represents a 10-unit change for the MDS (18 vs 8) and a 14-unit change for the DQI (19 vs 5).

containing vitamin/mineral supplements was not associated in the NBDPS with a reduced risk of NTDs, and findings for dietary folate intake were inconsistent.² Similarly, maternal supplement intake was not associated with reduced risk of orofacial clefts, and findings did not suggest associations with multiple dietary nutrients that were examined, including folate.¹⁰ Thus, the findings from this

study suggest that overall diet quality is more predictive of birth defect risk than intake of single nutrients.

Few studies have examined diet quality as a predictor of birth defect risks. One small study suggested better diet quality based on food groups was protective against NTDs.^{28,29} A more recent study suggested that better diet quality, using an index based on intake of several nutri-

ents, was protective, independent of folic acid intake.³⁰ A study of Dutch women observed that healthier dietary patterns, which were derived from principal components analyses of food groups, were protective against spina bifida and orofacial clefts, independent of intake of folic acid-containing supplements.^{31,32}

For the present study, we defined indices of overall diet quality a priori, based on existing, validated indices.^{12,33} An a priori approach has the advantage of being more easily replicated than a data-driven approach. The NBDPS used a version of the Willett food frequency questionnaire²¹ that was shortened and included few questions to differentiate types of fat and refined vs unrefined grain consumption, all of which may have reduced the ability of the indices to discriminate between better and worse diet quality. Our analyses, as well as previously published results, demonstrate the content validity of the indices, with higher values being associated with higher intake or serum levels of nutrients and other selected biomarkers.¹² However, there is no single “gold standard” for comparison, so fully assessing the validity of these indices is somewhat challenging. In addition, we could not specifically validate the modifications we made to the existing indices. A potential limitation of our indices is that each component gets the same weight; in the absence of knowledge regarding which components may deserve greater or lesser weight, we believe this is reasonable. Why Hispanic mothers tended to score higher in diet quality is unclear. Some studies have suggested better nutrient intakes among Hispanics, especially those who are less acculturated.³⁴⁻³⁶ However, adjustment for race/ethnicity did not substantially alter the reported risk estimates.

Strengths of the present study include the rigorous, population-based design and careful case ascertainment. Potential limitations include recall bias, selection bias, and residual confounding. Previous studies suggest that for many chronic exposures, recall bias is likely to be minimal in studies of birth defects.³⁷⁻³⁹ Also, it is unlikely that systematic recall bias would occur for a complex exposure like dietary intake. We were unable to validate women’s reported dietary intake. However, previous studies have demonstrated good validity and reliability of the instrument when used in other populations.^{21,40} A comparison of characteristics of participants with non-participants was not possible, although a comparison using earlier data from this study suggested that controls were generally representative of the base population.⁴¹ Women excluded from our analyses because of missing data were more likely to be Hispanic and have lower education, but this was true of cases and controls. We expect that our findings could be generalizable beyond our study population because of the study’s population-based design, active case ascertainment, and the racial/ethnic, geographic, and socioeconomic diversity. Our analyses were adjusted for multiple potential confounders; adjustment for them did not markedly affect results, but at least a portion of the observed associations may be attributable to unmeasured confounders. Another limitation is that women reported diet during the year before pregnancy, which would not capture dietary changes in early pregnancy (eg, due to nausea and vomiting). However,

symptoms such as nausea and vomiting often do not start until several weeks after conception, at which time the neural tube would have closed. This limitation has greater potential to impact cleft lip or cleft palate, which can occur through a longer period of development, 4 to 6 weeks after the neural tube closes.

The diet quality approach focuses on the combined effects of multiple nutrients and food constituents as evaluated through a single index. Our finding that maternal diet quality was more strongly associated with reductions in risks of NTDs and orofacial clefts than previous analyses from the NBDPS of maternal intake of single nutrients^{2,10} supports the proposition that the combined effects may be greater than the sum of individual nutrient effects.

Although the focus on folic acid has enabled substantial reductions in the prevalence of NTDs and perhaps other birth defects, the population burden of birth defects remains extensive. If increased diet quality can indeed have a greater impact than individual nutrients, appropriate public health messages may need to be developed that convey this broader perspective.

Accepted for Publication: July 11, 2011.

Published Online: October 3, 2011. doi:10.1001/archpediatrics.2011.185

Correspondence: Suzan L. Carmichael, PhD, Division of Neonatal & Developmental Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University, 1265 Welch Rd, Room X109B, Stanford, CA 94305-5415 (scarmichael@stanford.edu).

Author Contributions: *Study concept and design:* Carmichael, Yang, Feldkamp, Siega-Riz, Botto, and Shaw. *Acquisition of data:* Carmichael, Yang, and Shaw. *Analysis and interpretation of data:* Carmichael, Yang, Feldkamp, Munger, Siega-Riz, Botto, and Shaw. *Drafting of the manuscript:* Carmichael, Yang, Feldkamp, and Shaw. *Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* Carmichael, Yang, Feldkamp, Munger, Siega-Riz, Botto, and Shaw. *Statistical analysis:* Carmichael, Yang, Munger, Botto, and Shaw. *Obtained funding:* Carmichael and Shaw. *Administrative, technical, and material support:* Carmichael, Botto, and Shaw. *Study supervision:* Carmichael.

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Funding/Support: This project was partially supported by grants NIH R01 NS050249 and R03DE020112 from the National Institutes of Health and grant CDC 6U01-DD-000489 and 1U01-DD-0006982 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the California Department of Public Health.

Online-Only Material: This article is featured in the Archives Journal Club. Go to <http://www.archpediatrics.com> to download teaching PowerPoint slides. The eTables are available at <http://www.archpediatrics.com>.

Additional Contributions: We thank the California Department of Public Health Maternal Child and Adolescent Health Division for providing data.

- Obican SG, Finnell RH, Mills JL, Shaw GM, Scialli AR. Folic acid in early pregnancy: a public health success story. *FASEB J*. 2010;24(11):4167-4174.
- Mosley BS, Cleves MA, Siega-Riz AM, et al; National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Neural tube defects and maternal folate intake among pregnancies conceived after folic acid fortification in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;169(1):9-17.
- Mills JL, Carter TC. Invited commentary: preventing neural tube defects and more via food fortification? *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;169(1):18-23.
- Carmichael SL, Witte JS, Shaw GM. Nutrient pathways and neural tube defects: a semi-Bayesian hierarchical analysis. *Epidemiology*. 2009;20(1):67-73.
- Shaw GM, Finnell RH, Blom HJ, et al. A prospective case-control study of choline and risks of neural tube defect-affected pregnancies in a folate-fortified population. *Epidemiology*. 2009;20:714-719.
- Shaw GM, Carmichael SL, Laurent C, Siega-Riz AM. Periconceptional glycaemic load and intake of sugars and their association with neural tube defects in offspring. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2008;22(6):514-519.
- Loeken MR. Current perspectives on the causes of neural tube defects resulting from diabetic pregnancy. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet*. 2005;135C(1):77-87.
- Badovinac RL, Werler MM, Williams PL, Kelsey KT, Hayes C. Folic acid-containing supplement consumption during pregnancy and risk for oral clefts: a meta-analysis. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol*. 2007;79(1):8-15.
- Krapels IP, van Rooij IA, Ocké MC, West CE, van der Horst CM, Steegers-Theunissen RP. Maternal nutritional status and the risk for orofacial cleft offspring in humans. *J Nutr*. 2004;134(11):3106-3113.
- Shaw GM, Carmichael SL, Laurent C, Rasmussen SA. Maternal nutrient intakes and risk of orofacial clefts. *Epidemiology*. 2006;17(3):285-291.
- Sofi F, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Casini A. Accruing evidence on benefits of adherence to the Mediterranean diet on health: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010;92(5):1189-1196.
- Waijers PM, Feskens EJ, Ocké MC. A critical review of predefined diet quality scores. *Br J Nutr*. 2007;97(2):219-231.
- McCullough ML, Feskanich D, Stampfer MJ, et al. Diet quality and major chronic disease risk in men and women: moving toward improved dietary guidance. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2002;76(6):1261-1271.
- Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, et al; DASH Collaborative Research Group. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;336(16):1117-1124.
- Seymour JD, Calle EE, Flagg EW, Coates RJ, Ford ES, Thun MJ; American Cancer Society. Diet Quality Index as a predictor of short-term mortality in the American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2003;157(11):980-988.
- Trichopoulou A, Kouris-Blazos A, Wahlqvist ML, et al. Diet and overall survival in elderly people. *BMJ*. 1995;311(7018):1457-1460.
- Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(26):2599-2608.
- Bodnar LM, Siega-Riz AM. A Diet Quality Index for Pregnancy detects variation in diet and differences by sociodemographic factors. *Public Health Nutr*. 2002;5(6):801-809.
- Yoon PW, Rasmussen SA, Lynberg MC, et al. The National Birth Defects Prevention Study. *Public Health Rep*. 2001;116(suppl 1):32-40.
- Rasmussen SA, Olney RS, Holmes LB, Lin AE, Keppler-Noreuil KM, Moore CA; National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Guidelines for case classification for the National Birth Defects Prevention Study. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol*. 2003;67(3):193-201.
- Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1985;122(1):51-65.
- US Dept of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 19. Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page. 2006. <http://www.ars.usda.gov/services/docs.htm?docid=15973>. Accessed August 23, 2011.
- US Dept of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 20. Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page. 2007. <http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/ndl>. Accessed August 23, 2011.
- US Dept of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service. USDA Database for the Choline Content of Common Foods, Release 2. 2008. <http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/Data/Choline/Choln02.pdf>. Accessed August 23, 2011.
- Haines PS, Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM. The Diet Quality Index revised: a measurement instrument for populations. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1999;99(6):697-704.
- Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. *Report of the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee on the Dietary Guidelines for Americans*. Washington, DC: US Dept of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service; 2000.
- Shaw A, Fulton L, Savis C, Hogbin M. Using the Food Guide Pyramid: A Resource for Nutrition Educators [Online]. 2007. <http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/fpyr/guide.pdf>. Accessed August 23, 2011.
- Laurence KM, James N, Miller M, Campbell H. Increased risk of recurrence of pregnancies complicated by fetal neural tube defects in mothers receiving poor diets, and possible benefit of dietary counselling. *Br Med J*. 1980;281(6255):1592-1594.
- Laurence KM, James N, Miller MH, Tennant GB, Campbell H. Double-blind randomised controlled trial of folate treatment before conception to prevent recurrence of neural-tube defects. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1981;282(6275):1509-1511.
- Carmichael SL, Shaw GM, Selvin S, Schaffer DM. Diet quality and risk of neural tube defects. *Med Hypotheses*. 2003;60(3):351-355.
- Vujkovic M, Ocke MC, van der Spek PJ, Yazdanpanah N, Steegers EA, Steegers-Theunissen RP. Maternal Western dietary patterns and the risk of developing a cleft lip with or without a cleft palate. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;110(2, pt 1):378-384.
- Vujkovic M, Steegers EA, Looman CW, Ocké MC, van der Spek PJ, Steegers-Theunissen RP. The maternal Mediterranean dietary pattern is associated with a reduced risk of spina bifida in the offspring. *BJOG*. 2009;116(3):408-415.
- Arvaniti F, Panagiotakos DB. Healthy indexes in public health practice and research: a review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2008;48(4):317-327.
- Schaffer DM, Velie EM, Shaw GM, Todoroff KP. Energy and nutrient intakes and health practices of Latinas and white non-Latinas in the 3 months before pregnancy. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1998;98(8):876-884.
- Harley K, Eskenazi B, Block G. The association of time in the US and diet during pregnancy in low-income women of Mexican descent. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2005;19(2):125-134.
- Montez JK, Eschbach K. Country of birth and language are uniquely associated with intakes of fat, fiber, and fruits and vegetables among Mexican-American women in the United States. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2008;108(3):473-480.
- Werler MM, Poher BR, Nelson K, Holmes LB. Reporting accuracy among mothers of malformed and nonmalformed infants. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1989;129(2):415-421.
- Swan SH, Shaw GM, Schulman J. Reporting and selection bias in case-control studies of congenital malformations. *Epidemiology*. 1992;3(4):356-363.
- Khoury MJ, James LM, Erickson JD. On the use of affected controls to address recall bias in case-control studies of birth defects. *Teratology*. 1994;49(4):273-281.
- Willett WC, Reynolds RD, Cottrell-Hoehner S, Sampson L, Browne ML. Validation of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire: comparison with a 1-year diet record. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1987;87(1):43-47.
- Cogswell ME, Bitsko RH, Anderka M, et al; National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Control selection and participation in an ongoing, population-based, case-control study of birth defects: the National Birth Defects Prevention Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;170(8):975-985.